

December 1-2, 2018 || New Haven, Connecticut

	Show Business Division									
		Rou	ınd 1	Rou	nd 2	Rou	nd 3	Rou	ind 4	Summary
		π v. Y	Yale A	Δ v. L:	afayette	π v. N	IYU B	Δ v. Pe	nn State	4-3-1
Alabama	WLT	L	L	L	W	W	W	W	Т	CS: 14 OCS: 71
Jon Stewart	PD	-7	-6	-11	+2	+7	+4	+3	0	PD: -8
		Δ v. Ha	averford	π v. Y	Tale B	Δ v. H	illsdale	π v. Willia	am & Mary	4-4-0
Brown	WLT	W	W	L	L	L	L	W	W	CS: 17 OCS: 62.5
James Corden	PD	+8	+19	-2	-17	-3	-2	+2	+5	PD: 10
		π v. Roo	chester A	Δ v. 7	fufts A	π ν. Υ	ale B	Δ v. Nor	thwood A	4-1-3
BU A	WLT	W	Т	W	W	L	W	Т	Т	CS: 18.5 OCS: 69.5
Dick Clark	PD	+7	0	+3	+10	-6	+9	0	0	PD: 23
		Δ v. Ford	ham LC A	π v. Pe	nn State	π v. Min	nesota A	Δ v. Rι	utgers A	4-4-0
Columbia	WLT	L	W	W	W	L	W	L	L	CS: 20 OCS: 60
Trevor Noah	PD	-8	+8	+6	+4	-10	+2	-6	-5	PD: -9
		π v. Co	olumbia	Δ v. Pri	nceton A	Δ v. W	VUSTL	π ν. Υ	Yale A	5-3-0
Fordham LC A	WLT	W	L	L	W	W	W	W	L	CS: 15 OCS: 77.5
Seth Meyers	PD	+8	-8	-1	+12	+4	+9	+4	-5	PD: 23
		π v. Nor	thwood A	Δ v. N	IYU B	Δ v. Willia	am & Mary	π v. H	[arvard	2-6-0
Georgia State	WLT	L	L	L	W	L	W	L	L	CS: 12 OCS: 62
Jay Leno	PD	-8	-23	-11	+3	-4	+1	-7	-4	PD: -53
		Δ ν. `	Yale B	π v. Ha	werford	π v. Roc	chester A	Δ v. Geo	orgia State	4-4-0
Harvard	WLT	L	L	W	L	W	L	W	W	CS: 14 OCS: 59
Steve Harvey	PD	-3	-8	+5	-1	+8	-3	+7	+4	PD: 9
		π v. l	Brown	Δ v. H	Iarvard	π v. Pe	nn State	Δ v. N	IYU A	3-5-0
Haverford	WLT	L	L	L	W	L	L	W	W	CS: 12 OCS: 63.5
Stephen Colbert	PD	-8	-19	-5	+1	-6	-8	+1	+8	PD: -36
		π v. La	afayette	Δ ν. Υ	Yale A	π v. I	Brown	Δ v. Nor	thwestern	6-2-0
Hillsdale	WLT	W	L	L	W	W	W	W	W	CS: 18 OCS: 70.5
Johnny Carson	PD	+13	-4	-11	+3	+3	+2	+2	+11	PD: 19
		Δ v. H	lillsdale	π v. A	labama	Δ ν. Τ	fufts A	π v. W	/USTL	5-3-0
Lafayette	WLT	L	W	W	L	W	L	W	W	CS: 17 OCS: 67.5
Conan O'Brien	PD	-13	+4	+11	-2	+10	-2	+7	+1	PD: 16
		$\Delta v. V$	VUSTL	π v. 2	Kavier	$\Delta v. Co$	olumbia	π v. Pri	nceton A	6-2-0
Minnesota A	WLT	L	W	W	W	W	L	W	W	CS: 13 OCS: 68
Craig Ferguson	PD	-13	+8	+8	+6	+10	-2	+11	+6	PD: 34
		Δ v	Xavier	π ν. Ν	/USTL	Δ v. Pri	nceton A	π v. H	ıllsdale	4-4-0
Northwestern	WLT	W	W	W	L	L	W	L	L	CS: 15 OCS: 66
David Letterman	PD	+2	+8	+2	-15	-2	+4	-2	-11	PD: -14
		Δ v. Geo	orgia State	π v. Ri	itgers A	$\Delta v. We$	sieyan A	$\pi v.$	BUA	4-2-2
Northwood A	WLT	W	W	W	L	W	L*		Т	CS: 19 OCS: 60.5
Vanna White	PD	+8	+23	+12	-2	+3 ^ · · · ·	-3* Vovier	0 0		PD: 41
	WIT	π ν. ΓΠ τ		<u>д</u> v. we	sicyall A		W		T	I-/-U
limmy Fallon	PD	L -5	L _3	L -14	L _12		vv +14		L -8	PD·-31
Junny Paulon		-5	-5	-14	-12		· 1 T	1 -1	-0	10. 51



December 1-2, 2018 || New Haven, Connecticut

Show	Business	Division	

		Roi	und 1	Rou	nd 2	Rou	nd 3	Rou	nd 4	Summary
		$\Delta v. R$	utgers A	π v. Geo	rgia State	Δ v. A	labama	π ν. Σ	Kavier	1-7-0
NYU B	WLT	L	L	W	L	L	L	L	L	CS: 15.5 OCS: 53
Bill Maher	PD	-10	-2	+11	-3	-7	-4	-3	-1	PD: -19
		π v. We	esleyan A	Δ v. Co	olumbia	Δ v. Ha	werford	π v. A	labama	2-4-2
Penn State	WLT	L	Т	L	L	W	W	L	Т	CS: 17 OCS: 61
Samantha Bee	PD	-2	0	-6	-4	+6	+8	-3	0	PD: -1
		Δ v. l	NYU A	π v. Ford	ham LC A	π v. Nort	hwestern	Δ v. Min	nesota A	4-4-0
Princeton A	WLT	W	W	W	L	W	L	L	L	CS: 16 OCS: 58.5
Bob Barker	PD	+5	+3	+1	-12	+2	-4	-11	-6	PD: -22
		Δ v.	BU A	π v. Willia	am & Mary	Δ v. H	[arvard	π v. T	ufts A	2-4-2
Rochester A	WLT	L	Т	W	L	L	W	L	Т	CS: 16 OCS: 61.5
Chuck Woolery	PD	-7	0	+11	-5	-8	+3	-2	0	PD: -8
		π v. l	NYU B	Δ v. Nor	thwood A	Δ ν. Υ	ale A	π v. Co	olumbia	6-2-0
Rutgers A	WLT	W	W	L	W	W	L	W	W	CS: 15 OCS: 76
Richard Dawson	PD	+10	+2	-12	+2	+2	-6	+6	+5	PD: 9
		Δ v. William & Mary		π v.	BU A	π v. La	ıfayette	Δ v. Roo	chester A	4-3-1
Tufts A	WLT	W	W	L	L	L	W	W	Т	CS: 15.5 OCS: 65
Regis Philbin	PD	+14	+7	-3	-10	-10	+2	+2	0	PD: 2
		Δ v. Penn State		π v. NYU A		π v. Nort	hwood A	Δ ν. Υ	ale B	5-2-1
Wesleyan A	WLT	W	Т	W	W	L	W*	W	L	CS: 15 OCS: 70.5
Chelsea Handler	PD	+2	0	+14	+12	-3	+3*	+6	-7	PD: 27
		π ν.]	Fufts A	Δ v. Roo	chester A	π v. Geo	rgia State	Δ v. I	Brown	2-6-0
William & Mary	WLT	L	L	L	W	W	L	L	L	CS: 13.5 OCS: 60.5
Larry Wilmore	PD	-14	-7	-11	+5	+4	-1	-2	-5	PD: -31
		π v. Mi	nnesota A	Δ v. Nor	thwestern	π v. Fordl	nam LC A	Δ v. La	afayette	2-6-0
WUSTL	WLT	W	L	L	W	L	L	L	L	CS: 20 OCS: 60
Pat Sajak	PD	+13	-8	-2	+15	-4	-9	-7	-1	PD: -3
		π v. Not	thwestern	Δ v. Mir	nnesota A	π v. N	YU A	Δ v. N	IYU B	3-5-0
Xavier	WLT	L	L	L	L	W	L	W	W	CS: 12 OCS: 59
Jimmy Kimmel	PD	-2	-8	-8	-6	+2	-14	+3	+1	PD: -32
		Δ v. A	Alabama	π v. H	illsdale	π v. Ru	tgers A	Δ v. Ford	ham LC A	5-3-0
Yale A	WLT	W	W	W	L	L	W	L	W	CS: 21.5 OCS: 62
Alex Trebek	PD	+7	+6	+11	-3	-2	+6	-4	+5	PD: 26
		π v. I	Harvard	Δ v. Brown		Δ v.]	BU A	π v. Wesleyan A		6-2-0
Yale B	WLT	W	W	W	W	W	L	L	W	CS: 19 OCS: 64.5
John Oliver	PD	+3	+8	+2	+17	+6	-9	-6	+7	PD: 28
R1 Coin Elin:	Tail	Toom	ada lact nam	a closer to 7	7 gate hattar	rank				

R1 Coin Flip:

Tails. Team Code last name closer to Z gets better rank.

R3 Coin Flip: Tails. Cards switch sides.

*This ballot from the round between Northwood A and Wesleyan A was found to be mistabulated. Upon further examination, Tab concluded that the Defense won this ballot by 3 points. Because this error was brought to Tab's attention after the conclusion of the tournament (and thus after the 30-minute review period for Round 3), Tab cannot retroactively change Round 4 pairings or final team rankings. However, Tab would like to recognize Northwood A for an outstanding performance at the tournament. Had all else been equal, Northwood A would have finished the tournament with 6 Wins and a CS of 18.



December 1-2, 2018 || New Haven, Connecticut

Show Business Division

R	ound 1	Round 2	Round 3	Round 4	Summary			
OUTSTANDING TRIAL TEAMS								
1	Yale B		6 Wins	; CS 19; OCS 64.5				
2	Hillsdale		6 Wins	; CS 18; OCS 70.5				
3	Rutgers A		6 Wins	; CS 15; OCS 76				
4	Minnesota .	4	6 Wins	; CS 13; OCS 68				
5	BU A		5.5 Wi	ns; CS 18.5; OCS 69.5				
6	Wesleyan A	L	5.5 Wi	ns; CS 15; OCS 70.5				
7	Yale A		5 Wins	; CS 21.5; OCS 62				
8	Northwood	A*	5 Wins	*; CS 19; OCS 60.5				
9	Lafayette		5 Wins	; CS 17; OCS 67.5				
10	Fordham L	СА	5 Wins	; CS 15; OCS 77.5				

OUTSTANDING ATTORNEYS

20/17	π/Δ	Christopher Grant	Northwood A
20	π	Mike Kleynman	Rutgers
19	Δ	Evan Donaldson	Fordham Lincoln Center A
19	Δ	Mason Aberle	Hillsdale
19	Δ	Daniel Cohen	WUSTL
18	Δ	Rochelle Sun	Rochester
18/17	π/Δ	Elizabeth Bays	Yale A
18	Δ	Sarah Shapiro	Brown
17	π	Andrew Sullivan	Columbia
17	π	Natalie Garson	BU A
17	π	Crystal Xue	Harvard
17	Δ	Daniel Richardson	Alabama
17	π	Katelyn Frazier	NYU B
17	π	Travis Knoppert	Fordham Lincoln Center A
17	Δ	Steven Becker	Tufts A

	OUTSTANDING	WITNESSES
π	Joe Shipley	Princeton
π	Karli Zubek	Northwood A
Δ	Ashley Alphonse	Tufts A
Δ	Daniel Bruce	Haverford
Δ	Konrad Ludwig	Hillsdale
π	Dylan Sanders	BU A
Δ	Robert Servilio	Rutgers A
Δ	Mariana Suarez-Rebling	Yale B
π	Sean Rogers	Yale B
Δ	Ashlyn Cooper	Alabama

Tabulation Director: Jeffrey Guo (Yale)

Assistant Tabulation Director: Nick Beachy (HLS)

Tabulation Room Volunteers: Ed Stern (BU); Rebecca Koper (North Central); Reilly Knorr (Minnesota); Anneliese Mahoney (George Washington); Andy Hogan (Xavier); Michael D'Ippolito (Brown)



December 1-2, 2018 || New Haven, Connecticut

	Monkey Business Division									
		Rou	nd 1	Rou	nd 2	Rou	nd 3	Rou	nd 4	Summary
		π v. Nort	thwood B	Δ v. Ford	ham LC B	π v. Y	lale C	Δ v.	MIT	5-2-1
Amherst College	WLT	W	Т	L	W	W	L	W	W	CS: 13 OCS: 74.5
Mandrill	PD	+3	0	-9	+5	+9	-8	+16	+22	PD: 38
		Δ v.	Bye	π v. UMass Amherst		Δ v. Vermont		π v. We	sleyan B	4-4-0
Bowdoin	WLT	L	W	L	L	W	W	W	L	CS: 12 OCS: 65
Macaque	PD	-3	+7	-12	-11	+6	+1	+5	-2	PD: -9
-		π v. Nort	th Central	Δ v.	MIT	π ν. Ι	JMCP	Δ v. Y	ale C	5-2-1
BU B	WLT	W	L	L	W	W	Т	W	W	CS: 14.5 OCS: 72.5
Gorilla	PD	+9	-1	-1	+15	+8	0	+17	+7	PD: 54
		Δ v. V	ermont	π v. Τ	ufts B	π v. Ford	ham LC B	Δ v. Roc	chester B	6-2-0
Cornell College	WLT	W	W	W	L	L	W	W	W	CS: 15 OCS: 71.5
Capuchin	PD	+3	+19	+1	-2	-5	+10	+4	+17	PD: 47
		Δ v. U	JMBC	π v. Amhe	rst College	Δ v. Corn	ell College	π v. T	ufts B	5-3-0
Fordham LC B	WLT	W	W	W	L	W	L	L	W	CS: 18.5 OCS: 66.5
Langur	PD	+6	+8	+9	-5	+5	-10	-5	+4	PD: 12
		π v. Ri	itgers B	Δ v.	GW	Δ v. Nor	thwood B	π v. Ul	Penn A	4-3-1
Georgetown	WLT	W	L	L	L	W	W	W	Т	CS: 14 OCS: 69
Gibbon	PD	+12	-7	-4	-5	+13	+11	+7	0	PD: 27
		Δ v. We	sleyan B	π v. Roc	hester B	$\Delta v. U$	Penn A	π v. U	MBC	5-3-0
Gonzaga	WLT	L	W	W	L	L	W	W	W	CS: 12.5 OCS: 68.5
Howler	PD	-9	+16	+16	-1	-16	+9	+14	+11	PD: 40
		Δ v. UMa	ss Amherst	π v. Geo	orgetown	Δ v. T	Tufts B	π v. Prir	nceton B	6-2-0
GW	WLT	W	W	W	W	W	L	L	W	CS: 21 OCS: 69.5
Chimpanzee	PD	+4	+2	+4	+5	+14	-2	-1	+16	PD: 42
		Δ v. Pri	nceton B	π v. U	MBC	Δ v. Ri	utgers B	π v. UMas	s Amherst	4-4-0
La Salle	WLT	L	W	W	L	W	W	L	L	CS: 16.5 OCS: 69
Bonobo	PD	-9	+1	+7	-5	+9	+7	-17	-8	PD: -15
		π ν. Υ	ale C	Δ v. Nort	h Central	Δ v.	Bye	π v. Ve	ermont	3-4-1
Minnesota B	WLT	L	L	L	Т	L	W	W	W	CS: 11 OCS: 66.5
Marmoset	PD	-11	-1	-12	0	-11	+4	+1	+6	PD: -24
		Δ v. U	Penn B	π v. i	30 B	Δ v. Pri	nceton B	π v. Amhe	rst College	3-5-0
MIT	WLT	W	W	W	L	L	L		L	CS: 20.5 OCS: 54
Mangabey	PD	+5		+1 	-15		-2	-16	-22	PD: -49
		Δ V.	BUB	π V. Min	nesota B	$\Delta v. Ulvia$	ss Amnerst	π v. Nort	nwood B	4-3-1
North Central	WLT	L	W	W 12	1		L 12	W 17	W	CS: 16.5 OCS: 59.5
Lemur	PD	-9 A x Ambo	+1	+12 T V	0 armont	-/	-12	A v Nort	+3 h Central	PD: -3
Northwood D	WIT	I v. Annie	T		T	л v. Оес	T		T	I-U-I
Saki	PD	-3	0	+2	-5	-13	-11	_7	-5	PD· -42
Suite		2	~	-	-			· ·	-	12.12



December 1-2, 2018 || New Haven, Connecticut

Monkey Business Division

		Rou	Round 1 Round 2		Round 3		Rou	nd 4	Summary	
		π v. I	La Salle	Δ ν. Υ	lale C	π ν.	MIT	Δ v.	GW	5-2-1
Princeton B	WLT	W	L	W	Т	W	W	W	L	CS: 16.5 OCS: 78
Surili	PD	+9	-1	+1	0	+1	+2	+1	-16	PD: -3
		π v. U	Penn A	Δ v. G	onzaga	Δ v. We	sleyan B	π v. Corne	ell College	4-4-0
Rochester B	WLT	W	L	L	W	W	W	L	L	CS: 17.5 OCS: 60.5
Aye-Aye	PD	+5	-14	-16	+1	+2	+5	-4	-17	PD: -38
		Δ v. Ge	orgetown	π v. U.	Penn B	π v. L	a Salle	Δ v. l	ЈМСР	3-5-0
Rutgers B	WLT	L	W	W	L	L	L	L	W	CS: 16 OCS: 56
Baboon	PD	-12	+7	+1	-3	-9	-7	-4	+6	PD: -21
		π v.	UMCP	Δ v. Corn	ell College	π ν.	GW	Δ v. Ford	ham LC B	5-3-0
Tufts B	WLT	W	W	L	W	L	W	W	L	CS: 20.5 OCS: 70
Tamarin	PD	+4	+8	-1	+2	-14	+2	+5	-4	PD: 2
		π v	. GW	Δ v. B	owdoin	π v. Nort	th Central	Δ v. L	a Salle	6-2-0
UMass Amherst	WLT	L	L	W	W	W	W	W	W	CS: 18.5 OCS: 66
Loris	PD	-4	-2	+12	+11	+7	+12	+17	+8	PD: 61
		π v. Ford	lham LC B	Δ v. L	a Salle	π v. U	Penn B Δ v. Gonzaga		onzaga	2-6-0
UMBC	WLT	L	L	L	W	W	L	L	L	CS: 18 OCS: 57.5
Guenon	PD	-6	-8	-7	+5	+1	-9	-14	-11	PD: -49
		Δ v. '	Tufts B	π ν.	Bye	Δ v.	BU B	π v. Ru	tgers B	3-4-1
UMCP	WLT	L	L	W	W	L	Т	W	L	CS: 15.5 OCS: 66
Tarsier	PD	-4	-8	+24	+15	-8	0	+4	-6	PD: 17
		Δ v. Ro	chester B	π v. We	sleyan B	π v. G	π v. Gonzaga Δ v. Georgetown			3-4-1
UPenn A	WLT	L	W	W	L	W	L	L	Т	CS: 16.5 OCS: 60.5
Bushbaby	PD	-5	+14	+9	-6	+16	-9	-7	0	PD: 12
		π v.	MIT	Δ v. Ri	atgers B	Δ v. U	JMBC	π ν.	Bye	4-4-0
UPenn B	WLT	L	L	L	W	L	W	W	W	CS: 10 OCS: 69.5
Colobus	PD	-5	-1	-1	+3	-1	+9	+4	+21	PD: 29
		π v. Corr	ell College	Δ v. Nor	thwood B	π v. B	owdoin	Δ v. Min	nesota B	1-7-0
Vermont	WLT	L	L	L	W	L	L	L	L	CS: 15 OCS: 53.5
Orangutan	PD	-3	-19	-2	+5	-6	-1	-1	-6	PD: -33
		π v. C	bonzaga	Δ v. U	Penn A	π v. Roo	chester B	Δ v. Bo	owdoin	3-5-0
Wesleyan B	WLT	W	L	L	W	L	L	L	W	CS: 16.5 OCS: 58.5
Galago	PD	+9	-16	-9	+6	-2	-5	-5	+2	PD: -20
		Δ v. Minnesota B π v. Prince		nceton B	Δ v. Amhe	erst College	π v. BU B		3-4-1	
Yale C	WLT	W	W	L	Т	L	W	L	L	CS: 20 OCS: 55
Indri	PD	+11	+1	-1	0	-9	+8	-17	-7	PD: -14
		π v. B	owdoin	Δ v. U	JMCP	π v. Minnesota B		Δ v. UPenn B		2-6-0
Bye	WLT	W	L	L	L	W	L	L	L	CS: 15 OCS: 48.5
Human	PD	+3	-7	-24	-15	+11	-4	-4	-21	PD: -61

R1 Coin Flip:Ta:R3 Coin Flip:Ta:

Tails. Team Code closer to Z gets better rank. Tails. Cards switch sides.



December 1-2, 2018 || New Haven, Connecticut

Monkey Business Division

	Round	1 Round 2	Round 3	Round 4	Summary			
OUTSTANDING TRIAL TEAMS								
	1	GW	6 Wi	ns; CS 21; OCS 69.5				
	2	UMass Amherst	6 Wi	ns; CS 18.5; OCS 66				
	3	Cornell College	6 Wi	ns; CS 15; OCS 71.5				
	4	Princeton B	5.5 V	Vins; CS 16.5; OCS 78				
	5	BU B	5.5 V	Vins; CS 14.5; OCS 72.5				
	6	Amherst College	5.5 V	Vins; CS 13; OCS 74.5				
	7	Tufts B	5 Wi	ns; CS 20.5; OCS 70				
	8	Fordham LC B	5 Wi	ns; CS 18.5; OCS 66.5				
	9	Gonzaga	5 Wi	ns; CS 12.5; OCS 68.5				

n	TT	TC	TA	NID	IN	C	AT	Т	JD	NF.	VC
U	U	ID	$\mathbf{I}\mathbf{A}$			U	AI	T (JN		10

19	Δ	Peter Jones	UMass Amherst
19	π	Gabby Cabeza	UPenn B
18	π	Jack Beaumaster	Cornell College
18	π	Luke Pfister	Gonzaga
17	π	Courtney Cappelli	Princeton B
17	Δ	Jillian Pincus	Wesleyan B
17	Δ	Margaret Kruzner	Gonzaga
17	Δ	Ian Miller	Amherst College
17	Δ	Samantha Myers	Rochester B
17	π	Maya Durnal	Georgetown
17	Δ	Kaylee Slusser	UPenn A
17	π	Samantha Paralikas	George Washington

			OUTSTANDING WIT	NESSES
	20 :	π	Julia Cohen	Tufts B
:	20 :	π	Jacob Garwood	La Salle
	18 :	π	Lizzie Eckert	BU B
	18 4	Δ	Tyler Whitacker	Tufts B
	18 :	π	Kaarish Maniar	Georgetown
	17 :	π	Sean Buss	George Washington
	16 :	π	Abby Fritz	Minnesota B
	16 :	π	Ben Ratcliff	Gonzaga
	16	Δ	Amrita Sarma	UMBC
	16 :	π	Gavin Starr	UPenn B

Tabulation Director: Jeffrey Guo (Yale)

Assistant Tabulation Director: Nick Beachy (HLS)

Tabulation Room Volunteers: Ed Stern (BU); Rebecca Koper (North Central); Reilly Knorr (Minnesota); Anneliese Mahoney (George Washington); Andy Hogan (Xavier); Michael D'Ippolito (Brown)

Judges' Choice - Summary Statistics

Choice by Side		
Side	Chosen by Judge	Percentage
Р	107	53%
D	95	47%
Total	202	

Agreement by Side			
Chosen by Judge on			
Side	Won on Points	Ballots Won	Percentage
Р	90	78	87%
D	107	78	73%
Total	197	156	79%

Choice on Ties		
Side	Chosen in Tie	Percentage
Р	5	45%
D	6	55%
Total	11	

Agreement by Point Differential - All Wins			
	Chosen by Judge on		
PD	Won on Points	Ballots Won	Percentage
1-5	91	63	69%
6-10	61	52	85%
11+	45	41	91%
Total	197	156	79%

Agreement by Point Differential - Plaintiff Wins				
		Chosen by Judge on		
PD	Won on Points	Ballots Won	Percentage	
1-5	39	30	77%	
6-10	29	28	97%	
11+	22	20	91%	
Total	90	78	87%	

Agreement by Point Differential - Defense Wins				
		Chosen by Judge on		
PD	Won on Points	Ballots Won	Percentage	
1-5	52	33	63%	
6-10	32	24	75%	
11+	23	21	91%	
Total	107	78	73%	

Notes:

[1] Each scoring judge was asked to answer, at the bottom of their blue ballot, this question: *Which team put on the better performance?* Their answer to this question constitutes their "judge's choice."

[2] Six ballots (five won by the Defense, one by the Plaintiff) did not contain a judge's choice. One judge, even after having his ballot sent back, still refused to choose a team and wrote "HUNG JURY."

[3] The figures above are calculated using ballot data from both divisions.

[4] Raw data can be downloaded at https://app.box.com/v/judgeschoice2018yale.